

# Machine learning as a source of epistemic justification



Helen Sarah Robertson  
University of the Witwatersrand

Supposing machine-learning methods to constitute *a* source of epistemic justification, do they constitute a *distinct* such source?

# Overview

- 1) Background to the question and some terminology
- 2) Distinct sources of justification in analytic epistemology
- 3) Distinct 'secondary' sources of justification
- 4) Machine-learning methods as a distinct secondary source of justification

# Background and some terminology

---

# Background and some terminology

A machine-learning program is any computational program that can improve its performance in some task(s)  $t$ , with respect to some performance measure  $p$ , by means of experience  $E$ , where  $E$  typically consists of observed instances relevant to  $t$ .

(Cf. Mitchell, 1997; Alpaydin, 2004;  
Mohri, et al., 2012)

---

# Background and some terminology

A machine-learning program is any computational program that can improve its performance in some task(s)  $t$ , with respect to some performance measure  $p$ , by means of experience  $E$ , where  $E$  typically consists of observed instances relevant to  $t$ .

Examples of machine-learning programs include those that incorporate the

- 1) Support Vector Machine and
  - 2) Backpropagation algorithms.
-

# Background and some terminology

A machine-learning method is any method that uses some machine-learning program(s)  $m$  as a (partial) means to achieve some objective  $o$ .

---

# Background and some terminology

A machine-learning method is any method that uses some machine-learning program(s)  $m$  as a (partial) means to achieve some objective  $o$ .

Examples of machine-learning methods include the methods for

- 1) seismic event identification in Dong et al. (2014) and
  - 2) lung image classification in Sun et al. (2016).
-



# Background and some terminology

Epistemic justification for some proposition  $p$  is that in virtue of which it is epistemically reasonable or appropriate for some subject  $s$  to believe  $p$ .

---

# Background and some terminology

Epistemic justification for some proposition  $p$  is that in virtue of which it is epistemically reasonable or appropriate for some subject  $s$  to believe  $p$ .

Examples of epistemic justification include

- 1)  $s$ 's visual perception of people in this room,
  - 2)  $s$ 's belief that similar rooms hold  $n$  number of people, and
  - 3) the life scientist's observation of cells altering in some way through an electric microscope.
-

Distinct sources of  
justification in  
analytic  
epistemology



# Distinct sources of justification in analytic epistemology

Sources of epistemic justification are typically claimed to include

- 1) perception,
- 2) memory,
- 3) testimony,
- 4) introspection, and
- 5) reason.

(Cf. Audi, 2003)

---

# Distinct sources of justification in analytic epistemology

A source of epistemic justification,  $s_2$ , is distinct from or additional to some other source of such justification,  $s_1$ ,

- 1) if justification for distinct or additional beliefs is possible given  $s_2$ ,

---

# Distinct sources of justification in analytic epistemology

A source of epistemic justification,  $s_2$ , is distinct from or additional to some other source of such justification,  $s_1$ ,

- 1) ~~if justification for distinct or additional beliefs is possible given  $s_2$ ,~~

---

# Distinct sources of justification in analytic epistemology

A source of epistemic justification,  $s_2$ , is distinct from or additional to some other source of such justification,  $s_1$ ,

- 1) ~~if justification for distinct or additional beliefs is possible given  $s_2$ ,~~
- 2) if there is some set of possible beliefs  $B$  that could not be justified in the absence of  $s_2$ ,

---

# Distinct sources of justification in analytic epistemology

A source of epistemic justification,  $s_2$ , is distinct from or additional to some other source of such justification,  $s_1$ ,

- 1) ~~if justification for distinct or additional beliefs is possible given  $s_2$ ,~~
- 2) *only if* there is some set of possible beliefs  $B$  that could not be justified in the absence of  $s_2$ ,

---



# Distinct sources of justification in analytic epistemology

A source of epistemic justification,  $s_2$ , is distinct from or additional to some other source of such justification,  $s_1$ ,

- 1) ~~if justification for distinct or additional beliefs is possible given  $s_2$ ,~~
  - 2) *only if* there is some set of possible beliefs  $B$  that could not be justified in the absence of  $s_2$ ,
  - 3) if there is some set of possible objects  $O$  any belief about which could not be justified in the absence of  $s_2$ ,
-

# Distinct sources of justification in analytic epistemology

A source of epistemic justification,  $s_2$ , is distinct from or additional to some other source of such justification,  $s_1$ ,

- 1) ~~if justification for distinct or additional beliefs is possible given  $s_2$ ,~~
  - 2) *only if* there is some set of possible beliefs  $B$  that could not be justified in the absence of  $s_2$ ,
  - 3) ~~if there is some set of possible objects  $O$  any belief about which could not be justified in the absence of  $s_2$ ,~~
-

# Distinct sources of justification in analytic epistemology

A source of epistemic justification,  $s_2$ , is distinct from or additional to some other source of such justification,  $s_1$ ,

- 1) ~~if justification for distinct or additional beliefs is possible given  $s_2$ ,~~
  - 2) *only if* there is some set of possible beliefs  $B$  that could not be justified in the absence of  $s_2$ ,
  - 3) ~~if there is some set of possible objects  $O$  any belief about which could not be justified in the absence of  $s_2$ ,~~
  - 4) if  $s_2$  involves a distinct or additional capacity  $c$  to  $s_1$ .
-

# Distinct sources of epistemic justification

A source of epistemic justification,  $s_2$ , is distinct from or additional to some other source of such justification,  $s_1$ , if  $s_2$  involves a distinct or additional capacity,  $c$ , to  $s_1$  and only if there is some set of possible beliefs  $B$ , determined by  $c$ , that could not be justified in the absence of  $s_2$ .

# Distinct sources of justification in analytic epistemology

Under the account above,  
distinct sources of justification  
include

- 1) perception,
- 2) memory,
- 3) testimony,
- 4) introspection,
- 5) reason,

---

# Distinct sources of justification in analytic epistemology

Under the account above, distinct sources of justification include

- 1) perception,
- 2) memory,
- 3) testimony,
- 4) introspection,
- 5) reason,
  
- 6) visual symbol manipulation,  
and,
- 7) electron microscopy.

---

# 'Secondary' sources of justification

---

# 'Secondary' sources of justification

A 'primary' source of epistemic justification is internal to the epistemic subject.

A 'secondary' source of epistemic justification is external to the epistemic subject.

---



# 'Secondary' sources of justification

Under the account above,  
distinct sources of justification  
include

- 1) perception,
  - 2) memory,
  - 3) testimony,
  - 4) introspection,
  - 5) reason,
  
  - 6) visual symbol manipulation,  
and,
  - 7) electron microscopy.
-

# 'Secondary' sources of justification

Under the account above,  
distinct sources of justification  
include

- 1) *perception*,
- 2) *memory*,
- 3) *testimony*,
- 4) *introspection*,
- 5) *reason*,

primary  
sources of  
justification

- 6) visual symbol manipulation,  
and,
  - 7) electron microscopy.
-

# 'Secondary' sources of justification

Under the account above,  
distinct sources of justification  
include

- 1) perception,
  - 2) memory,
  - 3) *testimony*,
  - 4) introspection,
  - 5) reason,
  
  - 6) *visual symbol  
manipulation*, and,
  - 7) *electron microscopy*.
- 

secondary  
sources of  
justification

Machine-learning  
methods as a distinct  
secondary source of  
justification

---

Supposing machine-learning methods to constitute a source of epistemic justification, do they constitute a *distinct* (secondary) such source?

# Machine-learning methods as a distinct secondary source of justification

Is the addition of machine-learning methods to all current sources of epistemic justification such that

- 1) it involves an additional capacity,  $c$ , to those sources and
- 2) there is some set of possible beliefs  $B$ , determined by  $c$ , that could not be justified in the absence of the methods?

---

# Machine-learning methods as a distinct secondary source of justification

Example (1)  
*Seismic event identification*  
(Dong et al., 2014)

In instances like (1), machine-learning methods

- 1) extend the capacity for calculation, for example, of Bayesian probabilities, but
- 2) do not involve a capacity additional to those of all current sources of epistemic justification.

---

# Machine-learning methods as a distinct secondary source of justification

Example (2)

*Lung image classification for cancer risk*

(Sun et al., 2016)

In instances like (2), machine-learning methods

- 1) extend the capacity for calculation, for example, of linear algebraic relations,
- 2) might involve a capacity distinct from or additional to those already found in all current sources of epistemic justification, and

there might be some set of possible beliefs  $B$  that could not be justified in the absence of the methods.

---



# Conclusion

In many instances, machine-learning methods do not constitute a distinct source of epistemic justification, because they do not involve a capacity additional to those already found in all current sources of such justification.

In some instances, the methods might involve such a capacity and there might be some set of beliefs that could not be justified in their absence. In such instances, the methods might constitute a distinct secondary source of epistemic justification. Further argument is required.

# References

Alpaydin, E. 2004. *Introduction to Machine Learning*. Cambridge: The MIT Press.

Audi, R. 2003. *Epistemology*. New York: Routledge.

Dong, L., Li, X. and Xie, G. 2014. 'Nonlinear methodologies for identifying seismic event and nuclear explosion using random forest, support vector machine, and Naïve Bayes classification', *Abstract and Applied Analysis* 459137.

Mitchell, T. M. 1997. *Machine Learning*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Mohri, M., Rostamizadeh, A., and Talwalkar, A. 2012. *Foundations of Machine Learning*. Cambridge: The MIT Press.

Sun, W., Zheng, B. and Qian, W. 2016. 'Computer aided lung cancer diagnosis with deep learning algorithms', *Medical Imaging* 9785.